THE NEW YORK REVIEW OF BOOKS 23 April 1970

Lenin Year

Will the Soviet Union Survive Until 19847 by Andrei Amalrik. Harper & Row, 93 pp., \$4.95

The New Russian Tragedy by Anatole Shub. Norton, 128 pp., \$4.50

The Demonstration in Pushkin Square by Pavel Litvinov. Gambit, 128 pp., \$4.95

Message From Moscow by An Observer. Knopf, 288 pp., \$5.95 My Testimony by Anatoly Marchenko. Dutton, 415 pp., \$8.95

Russia: Hopes And Fears by Alexander Werth. Simon & Schuster, 352 pp., \$6.95

War Between Russia and China by Harrison E. Salisbury. Norton, 224 pp., \$5.95

The Masaryk Case by Claire Sterling. Harper & Row, 366 pp., \$7.95

Report On My Husband by Josefa Slánská. Atheneum, 208 pp., \$5.95

Neal Ascherson

It is Lenin year. This month, it is one hundred years since there was born in Simbirsk on the Volga that impatient, redheaded person who changed the world more fundamentally than any other man since Mohammed. In Moscow, the Caliphate has already stuper fied its subjects with Leninolatry: thousands of little dead-white busts, a billion chocolate cakes and puff pastries bearing in relief the face of the man from Simbirsk, countless speeches and articles maintaining that the Union; of Soviet Socialist Republics as presented by Messrs. Brezhnev and Kosygin is precisely that socialist fatherland struction. which Vladimir Ilyich would have,

the pagan West, the game of quota tions is played to suggest that Lenir were he to awaken in his mausofeur would repudiate everything he foun about him.

hat is unbalanced. Lenin would likely be satisfied enough to find th state he founded militarily secur technically advanced, and adequate fed. His criticisms would be secondar but acid nonetheless: the tendentoward chauvinism and bureaucrae against which he fought so desperate in the last months of his life has n been overcome, but instead has pi duced both the absurd quarrel wi China and the internal reluctance undertake econômic experiment

Above all, the "cultural revolution which he considered so necessary h not been carried through beyond lim ed social groups. This, from a ve different standpoint, is the theme

Andrei Amalrik's Will the Soviet Uni Survive Until 1984?, an essay unus among Russian dissident writings that it is aimed primarily at West public opinion.

To call Amalrik's book pessimis would be a pale reflection of this prophecy of doom. Amalrik, a young what he calls the "Democratic Movehistorian, suggests that there is little chance of fundamental reform from any foresecable Russian leadership. The division between the "middle class" of specialists and functionaries, weaklyagitating for a more genuine rule of law, and the "uncultured" masses below will widen. War will break out with China between 1975 and 1980, a prolonged wasting war which will drain 'the Soviet Union of its strength. Eastern Europe and a reunited Germany will press greedily upon a weakened Russia from the other flank. Eventually the system will collapse. The middle-class "democratic" reformers will be swamped, however, by the terrible frenzy masses"

"I have no doubt," Amalrik prowanted to see. In China, the Moslem nounces, "that this great Eastern Slav Brotherhood of Peking dismisses the empire created by Germans, Byzuntines Moscow celebrations as an obscene and Mongols has entered the last smear and claims Lenin for its own; In decades of its existence." This sort of

invaluable and brilliant. He univoca ment" into "genuine Marxist-Leninists" (what the Caliphate would call revisionists), liberals, Christians, and, not really within the movement, "neo-Slavophiles." The first three categories seek the return of the rule of law based on respect for the rights of man. They are a "middle-class" group, requiring intellectual freedom for their work and law to protect their property. But Amalrik, in his usual detached way, considers this group too effectively policed, too defensive, and too much involved with the state as its employer to succeed or to spread its protest to the masses.

Amalrik was a friend of Anatole Shub, the Washington Post correspondent in Moscow until his expulsion last year, and there are traces of Amalrikism in Shub's own The New Russian Tragedy. As the title suggests, he also suspects that the present regime is virtually incapable of "reappraising